

PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY MORNING.
In the third story, at the brick and corner of Main
and Huron streets.

ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN.
Entered on Huron street, opposite the Gregory
House.

CARR & GOULET
EDITORS AND PUBLISHERS.

Terms, \$1.50 a Year in Advance.
RATES OF ADVERTISING.
(Twelve lines or less considered a square.)

SPACE.	1 w.	2 w.	3 w.	4 w.	5 w.	6 w.	7 w.	8 w.	9 w.	10 w.	11 w.	12 w.
1 square.	25	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	225	250	275	300
2 squares.	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400	450	500	550	600
3 squares.	75	150	225	300	375	450	525	600	675	750	825	900
4 squares.	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000	1100	1200
5 squares.	125	250	375	500	625	750	875	1000	1125	1250	1375	1500
6 squares.	150	300	450	600	750	900	1050	1200	1350	1500	1650	1800
7 squares.	175	350	525	700	875	1050	1225	1400	1575	1750	1925	2100
8 squares.	200	400	600	800	1000	1200	1400	1600	1800	2000	2200	2400
9 squares.	225	450	675	900	1125	1350	1575	1800	2025	2250	2475	2700
10 squares.	250	500	750	1000	1250	1500	1750	2000	2250	2500	2750	3000
11 squares.	275	550	825	1100	1375	1650	1925	2200	2475	2750	3025	3300
12 squares.	300	600	900	1200	1500	1800	2100	2400	2700	3000	3300	3600

Cards in Directory, not to exceed four lines, \$4.00 a year.

Editorial notices 20 cents a line. Business notices 12 cents a line for the first insertion, and 6 cents for each subsequent insertion.

Marriage and death notices free; obituary notices 5 cents a line.

Yearly advertisements have the privilege of changing their advertisements three times. Additional charges will be charged for each change.

Advertisements unaccompanied by written or verbal directions will be published three months, and charged accordingly.

Legal advertisements, first insertion, 75 cents per line; second and third insertions, 50 cents per line; and subsequent insertions, 25 cents per line.

When a postscript is added to an advertisement, the whole will be charged the same as the first insertion. To be paid for when advert is made.

JOE PRINTING.
Pamphlets, Books, Handbills, Circulars, Cards, Ball Tickets, Labels, Blanks, Bill-Heads and other job printing. Estimates given. Printing done with promptness, and in the best possible style.

BUSINESS DIRECTORY.

GEO. H. CLARK, M. D., Housewife, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. H. JACKSON, Dentist, successor to C. D. Jackson, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

C. GEORGE, M. D., Physician and Surgeon, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

EUGENE K. FREEMAN, Attorney at Law, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. M. LEWITT, M. D., Physician and Surgeon, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

ANN ARBOR MINERAL SPRINGS, Office in building corner Main and West Huron streets.

W. L. WAGNER, Dealer in Ready-Made Clothing, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

J. FREDERICK SCHAEFFER, Teacher of the Piano, Violin and Guitar, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

NOAH W. CHEEVER, Attorney at Law, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

MRS. H. J. HILTON, M. D., Physician and Surgeon, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

JOHN G. GALL, Dealer in Fresh and Salt Meats, Lard, Sausages, &c., 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

J. FRED BROSS, Manufacturer of Carriages, Buggies, Lumber Wagons, Spring Wagons, Cattle Wagon, &c., 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

CROCKERY, GLASSWARE AND GROCERIES, J. & P. DONNELLY, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

W. A. LOVEJOY, Tobaccoist, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

REVENAUGH, Boss Photographer of Ann Arbor, 100 South Main street, Ann Arbor, Mich.

Michigan Argus.

FRIDAY MORNING, JULY 23, 1876.

Slaughtering Within the City Limits.

At the last meeting of the Common Council, and ordinance was passed, without a dissenting vote, making it "unlawful for any person to slaughter, keep, or otherwise dispose of any animal within the limits of the city of Ann Arbor." As might be expected, the persons immediately affected by this ordinance are put to some temporary inconvenience by it, and have stirred up considerable conversation in the street upon the subject. It may be important for our readers to know on what grounds the Common Council took its action.

Nearly all the slaughtering in the city is done at six or seven houses in a row on the north bank of the Huron river, in the 5th ward. From these houses it has been customary to throw into the river heads, paunches and other rejected portions of the slaughtered beasts, and these, half decayed, float leisurely down the stream, and carry stench and disease as far as they go. Moreover, enough filth is kept in and near these houses to affect disagreeably the health and comfort of fifty or a hundred families living on both sides of the river in the 4th and 5th wards. The object most distressed is the 5th ward school, which stands within a few rods of the slaughter-houses. For several years teachers and scholars in that school have complained of the horrible stench they have had to suffer during the warm weather. Year after year these complaints have increased. At last the Board of Health, whose duty it is to protect the community against perils threatening the public health, gave their attention to the evil; and in a memorial to the Common Council at its June meeting, they state that some of the slaughter-houses "are an outrage upon the name of decency. The putrid garbage adjacent to and connected with them so contaminates the atmosphere as to become almost a perpetual nuisance to the domestic comfort of the entire vicinity," and threatens to be a "fruitful source of disease, especially during the warm season." On behalf of the public safety the Board of Health accordingly requested the Council to pass an ordinance excluding slaughtering from the city limits. At the same meeting was presented an earnest petition to the same effect, signed by several hundred citizens of the 5th ward, calling for protection, especially for the pupils and teachers of their long-suffering school.

In accordance with these requests, the Council considered an ordinance carefully drawn up by the City Attorney, and wisely decided to take no hasty action, and to take a full month for a careful investigation of the evil complained of. At the July meeting the subject once more came up under a petition signed by many citizens of the 4th ward, especially those more immediately exposed to the nuisance. The petition included such names as Harvey Cornell, M. C. Tyler, Alpheus Felch, Henry W. Rogers, W. Tremain, E. J. Knowlton, Prof. Olney, Dr. Jackson, and John P. Lawrence. But the aldermen hardly needed any more petitions. They had all used their month to examine the subject for themselves. Most of them had visited the scene of the grievance, and had investigated it with their own eyes and noses, and they had made up their minds that public safety and justice required that henceforth a work so offensive to the public health and so injurious to the comfort of the city, should henceforth be done outside the city and where it could annoy nobody. Undoubtedly the Council have done right. Such legislation as this tends to make our city healthier, more attractive to strangers, and consequently more prosperous. Now let the Council stand by their action, and give no signs of backing down. They have again deserved well of their fellow citizens. At a single stroke they have rid us of an evil that has troubled us for years.

A REPORTER of the Baltimore *Gazette* recently interviewed Senator Thurman touching the financial planks in the Ohio Democratic platform and his own views concerning inflation. The Senator said that the Democracy of Ohio were divided on the currency question, but that the expressions of its platform were only local and should not be charged to the party as a national organization. He also averred that the Republicans could not with a good grace denounce the Democracy as an inflation party, while it acknowledged as leaders W. D. Kelley and other statesmen of like ilk, including, we presume, Moses W. Field, Detroit's horny-handed son of toil, who would make greenbacks as plenty and as free (and about as worthless) as the bills issued by Lyon's Katharine bank, Ayer's Pectoral institution, and other such money-making machines. He conceded the errors in the Ohio platform, which he was powerless to prevent, but alleged, and that truthfully, "the Republican party in Ohio is divided on the currency question," "that its financial plank can be construed to mean hard money or soft money," "while prominent Ohio Republicans and Congressmen are in favor of more greenbacks."

The Senator referred to the prevalent opinion that a Republican success in Ohio the coming fall would promote Democratic successes in the future, and scouted it as fallacious, declaring that "a Democratic defeat in the approaching election is simply suicidal." Of the charge that he "evinced a lack of moral courage" in not denouncing the inflation planks of the Democratic platform in his Columbia speech he said:

"The place and time were not opportune. It is a great injustice to condemn me for opinions never uttered. I have said or done nothing to warrant the charges brought against me. My record in the Senate is before the country and on that record I yet stand. I am to make my first speech of the campaign at Mansfield, Ohio, July 31st, and shall then announce my disagreement with the provisions of the platform, and throughout the campaign I shall say nothing to discredit the convictions of a lifetime."

The "record" on which Senator Thurman says "I yet stand" has no taint of

inflation, no shreds of an illegitimate, unconstitutional paper currency, either in war or woe. Hereafter he has favored in his speeches and votes good money for the masses as well as for the favored few, and we are glad to know that he has not joined the ranks of the rag money men. We think that he mistook in not making his opposition to the crazy plank in the Columbus platform more determined and more public, at the time that platform was built, but are disposed to credit him with a better knowledge of the local situation than we possess. With the great public we wait with interest his promised Mansfield speech.

BROTHER-IN-LAW CASEY has been interviewed in the interest of the Galveston (Texas) *News*, and, without any serious effort at pumping, gave his views concerning Gen. Grant's third term aspiration. Casey agrees with the *London Times* that Grant's famous letter should be construed literally: "The President talks very freely with Casey, and Casey knows (or may be presumed to) whereof he affirms. And this is what Casey knows: Grant "does not wish a third term, but if he is regarded by his party as the most available man he will serve another term." And to clinch the matter, "He does not wish the office again, but will accept, if the good of the party or the country requires it at his hands." And Casey requires Grant "as the most available man for the nomination," which considering that Grant "talks very freely" with Casey is more than likely Grant's opinion also. Casey thinks Morton would be a strong man, but that his poor health and the danger of "the reins of government" falling into the hands of a Vice-President, "usually a figure head" will shelve him. He conceives Washburne some strength; thinks that Blaine has played out, "should his chances by his wishy-washy conduct during the last session of Congress," that Wilson has not the ghost of a right, in fact that the old fellow is not exactly regarded as *non compos mentis*; and that "with the exception of President Grant himself, Bristow is the strongest man in the South," but that the whiskey men have picked him. Reasoning in a circle brother-in-law Casey comes to Grant every time. And Grant "talks very freely" to him.

A CONVENTION of inflationists has been called to meet in Detroit on the 25th of August. The meeting will be represented by such prominent rag money men of the East, West, and South as Ben F. Butler, Wendell Phillips, Hon. W. D. Kelley, Senator Gordon, of Georgia, and Mr. M. H. Hooton, of Illinois, who have pledged themselves to present. These men are known to favor the unlimited issue of rag-money, and it may be that they will succeed in throwing some light on the many points of the persecuted financial question. One such would be to show how, in their theories, they would effect the equalization of the value of a paper dollar with a dollar in gold. Another question which they might profitably explain is, how the plans they advocate will assist to make business better, or, for instance, money easier. Another would be to explain how the proposed inflation of currency will restore "business confidence" of the country, conceded to be the point to be attained. If these gentlemen successfully meet these among other points, they will confer a public benefit.

THERE are still no tidings from the missing aeronauts, Prof. Donaldson and Grimwood, reporter of the *Journal*, who made a balloon ascension from Chicago last Thursday. The last seen of the balloon was from a schooner two hours after the ascension. It was then distant from Chicago 30 miles, and going in a northeast direction. Within a few hours thereafter a storm of such violence arose that it is not deemed probable that the balloon could have survived it. The Chicago papers have since been filled with speculations, theories and probabilities in regard to the fate of the aeronauts, but there is little room for doubt that they have perished. One lake captain says that he is confident that he saw the remains of the wrecked balloon near Grassy Point; another that he saw the floating body of one of the aeronauts. There is still a vague hope entertained for their safety, and that they may yet return to gladden the hearts of their friends.

JUDGE COCHRANE dissolved the temporary injunction on the liquor tax case on Wednesday. He held that the tax was not a license, and indicated an opinion that the Legislature is not itself prohibited from licensing the sale of liquors, the constitutional provision only prohibiting its authorizing towns and municipalities to do so. "Technical" enough we venture to suggest. He was inclined to think the tax unauthorized as not being levied upon property, but on that point invited a new argument. He also suggested that he should not have issued the temporary injunction had he known of the act of 1873, requiring amount of tax contested to be deposited. Did not Judge Cochrane also overlook or forget the repeated decisions of the Supreme Court that injunction is not the remedy in such cases, and can only be issued where a cloud is raised upon real estate? The collection of personal taxes, or taxes on personal property, the court has held, time and again, cannot be stayed by injunction.

THE Chicago *Tribune* speaks thus concerning Secretary Delano: "The highest interests of the country, as well as of the public, demand the removal of the Secretary of the Interior. If the President waits for the 'news-paper clamor' to stop before removing him, he will be like the fool in the fable who waited for the river to run by before he made several changes for the better in the Cabinet of late, but the worst member has been left undisturbed. This should no longer be the case. Dishonesty or incompetence—these are two horns of the dilemma. He writes on one of them, perhaps on both. Delano delendus est."

Rates on Third-Class Mail Matter.

The attention of the public has been frequently called to the clause which Senator Hamlin succeeded in having inserted in the Sundry Civil Appropriation bill, by which the rate of postage on mail matter of the third class was doubled. Mr. Ivan C. Michels, of New York, has instituted proceedings to test the constitutionality of the law. A short time ago he presented to Postmaster James, a book for transmission to Philadelphia with the old rate of postage only affixed. The postmaster refused to receive or transmit it. Mr. Michels now makes application for a mandamus to compel him to receive and transmit the book. Mr. Michels' affidavit which has been filed with the clerk of the United States Circuit Court, shows that the United States Constitution directs that all revenue bills shall originate in the House of Representatives, although the Senate may propose amendments; that the Sundry Civil Appropriation bill as it came from the House when the Senate amended it by inserting the provision for the increased rate of postage contained no provision for the raising of revenue, and was in no sense a revenue bill, and that, therefore, the Senate could not amend it by the addition of an amendment providing for the raising of revenue, which is the effect of the provision, that in fact the Sundry Civil Appropriation bill, so far as it provides for the raising of revenue, originated in the Senate, in violation of the clause of the Constitution above referred to. The application will come up for a hearing before Samuel R. Blatchford, on the 3d of August.

A COMMUNICATION in the New York *Times*, from its Omaha correspondent, has fallen under our notice. This concludes all the highest anticipation indulged in respecting the crops in the West. He says the wheat crop will be beyond all expectations, and oats, barley, rye and other crops promise exceedingly well. The crop of corn has been promised so abundantly. It is estimated that in Nebraska alone there will be raised for exportation 10,000,000 bushels of wheat. He claims that there is no cause for discouragement; that the reports of the grasshopper ravages have been greatly exaggerated, and that the general appearance of the State is one of thrift and plenty. The same, he says, is true of Kansas, Missouri and Iowa, and there is no cause for complaint as to the crops in any of those States. On the contrary, there is great cause for rejoicing that the future is so abundant, and that the future promises so well.

ANOTHER tax is levied by arbitrary decision of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, upon persons who are so ill-disposed as to be sick. This time it is not to protect the Philadelphia manufacturers of quinine, but to extort a pecuniary tribute from domestic dyspeptics. A dispute having occurred in Iowa as to the taxability of solution of citrate of magnesia, the question was referred to the department at Washington, whence has issued the reply that although "medicine is official" which means that it is catalogued in the dispensary on a footing with castor oil and Epsom salts, yet as it is "put up in a style or manner similar to that of patent or proprietary medicines in general," it must bear on each bottle a stamp. The absurdity of such a decision is evident when it is considered that a druggist may escape the tax by simply keeping his customer waiting while he prepares the solution to order.

THE Toledo *Commercial* says: It has been suggested that a reunion of the survivors of Libby Prison, Belle Isle, Andersonville, and all Southern prisons of the war of the rebellion, be held some time during the Summer or Fall, at the Islands of Erie. The suggestion as made to us contemplates the reunion of all soldiers who were prisoners during the war, who live in the region easily accessible to the island resorts. The proposal for a gathering of this kind should be well received, and certainly no more desirable place can be named than that suggested, at which to hold it. We would be glad at any time to forward such a proposal.

THE London *Standard* of the 6th, in commenting on the Fourth of July celebration held at the Crystal Palace, Sydenham, utters a growl in this language:

"The Fourth of July falling this year on a Sunday the Americans were obliged to postpone their demonstrations of good will and brotherly love to the nation over whom they celebrate an anniversary. They accordingly gathered at the Crystal Palace to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna on the anniversaries of Solferino and Sadowa? It is equally needless to say that since our American guests have ventured on such a display of hubris and discourtesy, and none but Englishmen would tolerate it. What would be thought of us if we were to celebrate the anniversary of Waterloo by a public dinner in Paris—and what would become of the celebrants? Would the Belgians propose to hold at the Hague or in Amsterdam, a public dinner in honor of their successful revolt from Holland; or, despite the cordial feeling which now exists between Austria and Italy, would the Italians propose or Austria permit a public dinner at Vienna

